

Isra University Faculty of Engineering Engineering Project Management Program

Predicting the Earned Value for Tunnels Projects Using Artificial Neural Network Approach

A thesis Submitted to Isra University
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science Engineering in Engineering Projects Management

Prepared by Mohammed AbdulRazzaq AbdulQader

Supervised by Associate Professor Dr. Karim M. Aljebory

ISRA UNIVERCITY AUTHORIZATION FORM

| I, Mohammed AbdulRazzaq AbdulQader, authorize Isra University to provide copies of my Thesis to libraries, establishments, and individual upon |
|--|
| request, according to Isra University regulation. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Signature: |
| Date 28 Aug. 2018 |

Acknowledgment

I would like to convey my profound appreciation, gratitude and thankfulness to (Associate. Prof Dr. Faiq M. S. Al-Zwainy) for his guidance, encouragement.

Greatest thanks and appreciation to my supervisor (Associate Prof. Dr. Karim M. Aljebory) for his follow up, and (Associate Prof. Dr. Ibrahim A. Mohammed) for his valuable review and support and I would like to express my thanks to (Associate Prof. Dr. Bashar Khaled Tarawneh) for his deep insightful dialogue during thesis presentation.

In addition, my gratitude is pledged to all my family and my friends for their support and enthusiasm as well as continuous assistance towards the accomplishment of the research.

Predicting the Earned Value for Tunnels Projects Using Artificial Neural Network Approach

By

Mohammed AbdulRazzaq AbdulQader Supervised by

Associate Professor Dr. Karim M. Aljebory

Abstract

Earned Value Management (EVM) is a project management methodology that integrates scope, schedule, and cost. It is a framework that allows project management professionals to monitor these three components so they have an objective measure of project health. The goal is to optimize subjective progress measurement.

There may be a weakness in earned value management for the tunnels projects because the current available techniques are poor and uncertain. However, today a great deal of effort is focused on the development of neural network for predicting the EVM in construction Projects generally, and tunnels projects especially.

The main objective of this study is to introduce Artificial Intelligence (AI) in conducting statistical approach for earned value management of the tunnels projects. Methodology is mainly depended on the determination of various factors that affect the EVM of the tunnels projects, that involves historical data in Iraq and Jordan.

five independent variables were randomly selected (Actual Cost AC, Planning Value PV, Earned Value EV, Actual Duration AD and Planning Duration PD), which were well defined for each tunnel project, and one dependent variable Schedule Performance Index (SPI) was selected.

Neuframe Program was selected, which is the premier neural network simulation environment. The procedure adopted for finding the optimal network architecture and internal parameters that control the training process which carried out by using the default parameters of the Neuframe software package.

The experimentation results reveal that, Mean Absolut Percentage Error (MAPE%) and Average Accuracy percentage (AA%) generated by ANN model (SPI) were found to be 11% and 89% respectively. Therefore, it can be concluded that ANN model (SPI.model.1) shows an excellent agreement with the actual measurements.

Content

| | Title | Page |
|-----------------|--|------|
| CONTENTS | | I |
| LIST OF FIGURES | | I |
| LIST C | F TABLES | I |
| LIST A | BBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS | I |
| | Chapter One (Introduction) | |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Research Hypothesis | 1 |
| 1.3 | Research Aim and Objectives | 2 |
| 1.4 | Research Methodology | 2 |
| | 1- Literature Survey: | 2 |
| | 2- Field Work Included Five Stages; | 3 |
| 1.5 | Research Structure | 3 |
| | Chapter Two (Earned Value Management: | |
| | Concept and Applications) | |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 5 |
| 2.2 | Understanding Projects and Project Management | 6 |
| 2.3 | Concept of Earned Value Management | 7 |
| 2.4 | Historical View of Earned Value Management | 9 |
| 2.5 | Brief Review of EVM | 11 |
| 2.6 | Importance of Earned Value in Project Management | 13 |
| 2.7 | Earned Value Measurement Techniques | 15 |
| 2.8 | EVM in the construction Sector | 16 |
| | 2-8-1 Managing construction projects with EVM | 16 |
| | 2-8-1-1 External causes | 17 |
| | 2-8-1-2 Internal causes | 18 |
| | 2-8-1-3 Solving the problem | 18 |

| | 2.8.2 EVM And the Project Management Process | 21 | | | |
|------|---|----|--|--|--|
| 2.9 | Fundamental of Earned Value Management Analysis | 23 | | | |
| | 2.9.1 Basic Elements | | | | |
| | 2.9.1.1 Planned Value | | | | |
| | 2.9.1.2 Actual Cost | | | | |
| | 2.9.1.3 Earned Value | | | | |
| | 2.9.2 Input data of EVM | 26 | | | |
| | 2.9.3 Cost Indicators | 27 | | | |
| | 2.9.3.1 Cost Variance | 28 | | | |
| | 2.9.3.2 Cost Variance Percentage | 28 | | | |
| | 2.9.3.3 Cost Performance Indicator | 28 | | | |
| | 2.9.3.4 To Complete Cost Performance Indicator | 29 | | | |
| | 2.9.4 Schedule Indicators | 29 | | | |
| | 2.9.4.1 Schedule Variance | 29 | | | |
| | 2.9.4.2 Schedule Variance % | 30 | | | |
| | 2.9.4.3 Schedule Performance Indicator | 30 | | | |
| | 2.9.4.4 To Complete Schedule Performance Indicator | 31 | | | |
| | 2.9.5 Miscellaneous Formula Earned Value "Forecasting" Parameters | 31 | | | |
| | 2.9.5.1 Budget at Completion | 31 | | | |
| | 2.9.5.2 Estimate to Complete | 33 | | | |
| | 2.9.5.3 Estimate at Completion | 34 | | | |
| | 2.9.5.4 Variance at Completion | 34 | | | |
| | 2.9.5.5 % Completed Planned | | | | |
| | 2.9.5.6 % Completed Actual | 35 | | | |
| 2.10 | EVM performance Analysis and forecasting | 35 | | | |
| 2.11 | Limitations of Using Earned Value Management | 37 | | | |
| 2.12 | Summery | 39 | | | |
| 2.13 | Previous Studies | 40 | | | |
| | Chapter Three (ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS APPROACH) | | | | |
| 2.1 | | | | | |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 44 | | | |
| 3.2 | Natural Neurons | 45 | | | |
| 3.3 | Artificial Neural Network | 46 | | | |
| | 1 Weighting Factors | 46 | | | |
| | 2 Summation Function | 46 | | | |

| | 3 | Activation function | 47 | |
|-----|--|--|----|--|
| | 4 | Output Function (Competition) | 48 | |
| | 5 | Error Function and Back-Propagated Value | 48 | |
| 3.4 | Models of Artificial Neural Networks | | | |
| | 3.4.1 F | eed-Forward Neural networks (FFNNs) | 50 | |
| | 3.4.2 Re | ecurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) | 52 | |
| | a) Back | Propagation Learning Algorithm | 52 | |
| | Chapter Four (Application of Artificial Neural | | | |
| | Network in Earned Value Management) | | | |
| 4.1 | Introdu | uction | 54 | |
| 4.2 | Neufra | ame Software Applications | 54 | |
| 4.3 | Identif | rication of ANN Model Variables | 56 | |
| | 1) Depe | endent variables | 56 | |
| | 2) Indep | pendent variables | 57 | |
| 4.4 | Development of ANN model | | | |
| | 1) Development of Model Inputs and Outputs | | | |
| | 2) Data division | | | |
| | 3) Model Architecture | | | |
| | 4) ANN Model Equation | | | |
| | 1) Schedule Variance (SV): | | | |
| | 2) Sche | dule Performance Index (SPI): | 63 | |
| 4.5 | Verific | cation and Validation of the ANN Model | 64 | |
| | a) Mean | n Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) | 65 | |
| | b) Avera | age Accuracy (AA) | 66 | |
| | | Chapter Five (Conclusions and | | |
| | | Recommendations) | | |
| 5.1 | Conclu | usions | 67 | |
| 5.2 | Recom | nmendations | 68 | |
| 5.3 | Recom | nmendations for Further Studies | 68 | |
| | | References | | |

LIST OF FIGURES

| NO. | Tittle | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| (2-1) | Successful Project Meets All Three Requirements | 7 |
| (2-2) | Traditional Time and Cost Schedule | 12 |
| (2-3) | Earned Value Time and Cost Schedule | 13 |
| (2-4) | Transforming Data into EVM Parameters. | 20 |
| (2-5) | EVM and the Basic PM Process | 23 |
| (2-6) | Planned Value | 24 |
| (2-7) | Actual Cost | 25 |
| (2-8) | Earned Value | 26 |
| (2-9) | Earned Value Curves | 27 |
| (2-10) | The Special Case in Earned value | 32 |
| (2-11) | The Worst Case in Earned Value | 32 |
| (2-12) | the middle case | 33 |
| (2-13) | EVM Performance Measures | 35 |
| (2-14) | Interpretations of Basic AVM Performance Measures | 36 |
| (3-1) | Illustrates the four parts of biological neuron | 45 |
| (3-2) | the neuron model | 49 |
| (3-3) | Common choices for the activation functions | 49 |
| (3-4) | Multilayer feedforward neural network | 51 |
| (3-5) | The difference in the information flow between a RNN and a | 53 |
| | Feed-Forward Neural | |
| (4-1) | Graphing Component of NEUFRAME 4 Program | 55 |
| (4-2) | Tunnel Project in Amman | 56 |
| (4-3) | Structure of the ANNs Optimal (SPI) Model | 62 |
| (4-4) | Comparison of Predicted and Observed SPI for Validation Data | 64 |

LIST OF TABLES

| NO. | Tittle | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| (2-1) | The EV Measurement Technique | 15 |
| (2-2) | Problems and Proposed Solution in EVM | 19 |
| (2-3) | EVM and Project Management. | 22 |
| (2-4) | EVM and Basic Project Management Questions | 36 |
| (4-1) | Total Available Data of 40 Projects in Iraq and Jordan (2005-2017). | 59 |
| (4-2) | The Validation Results for 4 Projects | 60 |
| (4-3) | Effect of Parameters on ANN Performance | 61 |
| (4-4) | Weight and Threshold Levels for the ANN Optimal | 62 |
| (4- 5) | Schedule Performance Index (SPI) Computed by ANN for | 64 |
| | Verification of Estimating Model | |
| (4- 6) | Verification of SPI Model. | 65 |
| (4-7) | Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) | 65 |
| (4-8) | Results of the Comparative Study | 66 |