



**Comparative Long Run Economic Analysis of
Steel and Concrete Projects in Jordan**

By:

Mohammed Yousef Saleh Al Shanty

Supervisor by:

Dr. Walid Hasan

**This Thesis is Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
obtaining the Master's Degree in Engineering Project Management**

Faculty of Engineering

Isra University

Amman-Jordan

April, 2018

AUTHORIZATION FORM

Mohammed Yousef Saleh Al Shanty, Authorize Isra University to Supply Copies of My Thesis to Libraries or Establishments or Individuals on Request, In Accordance With the University Regulations.

Signature:

Date:

COMMITTEE DECISION

*This thesis (Comparative Long Run Economic Analysis of Steel and Concrete
Projects in Jordan)*

was successfully defended and approved on (19-4-2018)

<i>Examination Committee</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Associate. Prof. Dr. Walid Hasan (Supervisor)</i> <i>Al Isra University</i>
<i>Prof. Dr. Sultan Tarawneh (Member)</i> <i>Mutah University</i>
<i>Prof. Dr. Salim Yousif (Member)</i> <i>Al Isra University</i>

Al-Isra University

Amman-Jordan

DETECTION

this thesis is dedicated to my family, parents, friends and teachers have been a strong and steadfast support in my master journey. They taught me the value of life and faithful love. I can't fully express in words for priceless love and encouragement that Eng. Lubna Dani, Khalil Mahfouz, Abdalrahim Lafi , Mustfa Alsartawi ,Salim Albojoq , Zuhir , Ammar Ftaha and Mohmoud Badwi gave me in my life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

my sincere and deepest acknowledgement are to my supervisor Dr. Walid Hassan and Prof.

Dr. Ibrahim A. Mohammed for their continuous support, fruitful suggestions and constructive criticism during this work. Their immeasurable help is highly appreciated and will never be forgotten.

special thanks are attributed for their patience, help and valuable support during this study. I also extend my thanks to my Friends Eng. Mahmoud Badawi, Eng. Rakan Abumatar.

Most importantly, my deepest gratitude goes to my family Yousef Alshanty, Etidal Alashqar, Hazem Alshanty , Alaa Alshanty , Mahmoud Alshanty ,Ali Alshantyand Huda Alshanty; for their endless unconditional love, support, patience, prayers. Thanks for their continued motivation and support throughout my master's journey.

Thanks for all who helped me and are not mentioned in this acknowledgement.

ABSTRACT

This research thesis aims at finding the optimum solution to the select structural frame for beneficial projects in the planning stage in Jordan; either by using reinforced concrete structure or steel structure to select the optimum solution, economic comparison has been used in terms of cost and beneficial revenue.

A project has been selected as a case study. the study encompassed two alternative options (A and B), where the first one was constructed using reinforced concrete structure and the second using the steel structure

The study addressed the planning stage, including constructing plans and drawings, preparing the quantity lists, analyzing prices, preparing civil work plans using primaveraetc. Cost, resources and quantities were assigned, and a plan was prepared to implement both projects in the shortest possible time period

Furthermore, the monthly cost for each project were extracted. Then, the economic analysis was conducted on these costs to know which alternative projects leads to achieve a higher long – run revenue rate.

It was shown that the difference in revenue between the two alternatives projects does not exceed 20 % period. The story area amounts to about 900 m^2 with total of 5 stories.

The results revealed that constructing the projects using steel structure was achieved within a time period of 9 months, whereas constructing the project using reinforced concrete structure was achieved within a time period of 12 month, which mean that steel structure projects saves time nearly 34 %, but compare with project concrete structured higher cost not less than 20 %

ملخص البحث

يهدف البحث هذه إلى إيجاد الحل الأمثل لاختيار الإطار الهيكلي للمشاريع الربحية في مرحلة التخطيط في الأردن؛ إما باستخدام هيكل الخرسانة المسلحة أو الهيكل الفولاذي، لاختيار الحل الأمثل تم استخدام المقارنة الاقتصادية من حيث التكلفة والإيرادات.

لأجراء الدراسة والوصول للحل الأمثل تم اختيار مشروع ربحي (مدرسة) شملت الدراسة خيارين بديلين حيث تم بناء أولهما باستخدام خرسانة مسلحة والثانية باستخدام الهيكل الفولاذي

تناولت الدراسة مرحلة التخطيط، بما في ذلك خطط البناء والرسومات، وإعداد قوائم الكميات، وتحليل الأسعار، وإعداد خطط العمل المدنية باستخدام برنامج البريمافيرا. تم تعيين التكاليف والموارد، وتم إعداد خطة لتنفيذ كلا المشروعين في أقصر فترة زمنية ممكنة

علاوة على ذلك، تم استخراج التكلفة الشهرية لكل مشروع. ثم، تم إجراء التحليل الاقتصادي على هذه التكاليف لمعرفة أي المشاريع البديلة تؤدي إلى تحقيق معدل دخل أعلى على المدى الطويل.

تبين أن الفرق في التكاليف بين المشروعين البديلين لا يتجاوز 20%. لمساحة الطابقية حوالي 900 م² بإجمالي 5 طوابق.

أوضحت النتائج أن بناء المشاريع باستخدام الهيكل الفولاذي قد تحقق خلال فترة 9 أشهر، بينما تم بناء المشروع باستخدام الخرسانة المسلحة خلال فترة 12 شهر، مما يعني أن مشاريع الهياكل الفولاذية توفر 34% تقريباً من المدة الزمنية، بتكلفة أعلى قد تصل الى 20%.

Table of Contents

AUTHORIZATION FORM.....	I
COMMITTEE DECISION	II
DETECTION.....	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	IV
ABSTRACT.....	V
ملخص البحث.....	VI
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	VII
LIST OF TABLES	XI
LIST OF FIGURES	XII
TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	XIII
1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Project Management.....	1
1.2 Economic Analysis	2
1.3 Engineering Economics	3

1.4	Characteristics of School Building	4
1.5	Research Problem	7
1.6	Research Objectives	8
2	Literature Review	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Planning and Scheduling	10
2.2.2	Organizational Breakdown Structure.....	12
2.2.3	Deterministic Scheduling Principles.....	13
2.2.3.1	Scheduling Systems	14
2.2.3.2	Matrix Scheduling.....	14
2.2.3.3	Gantt Chart Scheduling.....	14
2.2.3.4	Network Diagramming	15
2.2.3.5	Critical Path Method (CPM).....	16
2.3	Resource Allocation	19
2.3.1	Importance of Resource Planning and Scheduling.....	20
2.3.1.1	Leveling	22
2.3.1.2	Resource Curve	22
2.3.2	The Latest Research Published on The Topic Of Planning and Resource Allocation.	22
2.4	Primavera	25
2.4.1.1	Detailed Project Planning.....	28

2.4.1.2	Determining the Activities of the Project.....	28
2.4.1.3	Calculating the Time of Implementation of Activities.....	28
2.4.1.4	Determining the Relations Between the Activities:.....	29
2.4.1.5	Clear Representation of These Activities Graphically	29
2.4.2	Latest Research Published on The Topic (Primavera).....	32
2.5	Engineering Economics	35
2.5.1	Latest Research Published on The Topic (Engineering Economics)....	36
3	Research Methodology and Data Collection	40
3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Research Design and Case Study.....	41
3.2.1	Research Design	41
3.2.2	Case Study	42
3.3	Data Collection	44
3.3.1	Preparing The Plans	44
3.3.2	Bills of Quantities	46
3.3.3	Preparing the Activity And Relation.....	47
4	Data Analysis and Discussion Of Results.....	54
4.1	Introduction	54
4.2	Plans of the Two Alternative Options	55
4.2.1	Scheduling the Options Time	55
4.2.1.1	Critical Path of Each Alternative	55

4.2.2	Resource Allocation in Each Option	60
4.2.3	Monthly Option Cost of Options	62
4.3	Economic Analysis	64
4.3.1	Study the Market For School Building	64
4.3.2	Estimating Revenue and Expenses	65
4.3.3	Estimating the Net Present Value for Each Alternative.....	67
4.4	Comparison Between Two Alternatives.....	75
5	Conclusion and Recommendation	77
5.1	Conclusions in General.....	77
5.2	Conclusions Related to Planning Projects	77
5.3	Conclusions Related to Economic Analysis	78
5.4	Recommendation.....	78
5.5	Future study.....	79
	References:.....	80

Appendix 1: Plans for Each Project

Appendix 2: Bill of Quantity and Analyzes the Cost

Appendix 3 :Activity and Relation

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1 Quantities of Activities for Concrete Structure Options A.....	48
Table 3-2 Quantities of Activities for Steel Structure Option B.....	49
Table 4-1 Example Analysis of Cost Per Unit.....	61
Table 4-2 Cost of Each Month for Option A	62
Table 4-3 Cost of Each Month for Option B	63
Table 4-4 Requirements of The Ministry of Education	64
Table 4-5 School Capacity of Students.....	65
Table 4-6 Expenses of A Private School in Jordan.....	65
Table 4-7- Running Cost of Concrete Structure and Steel Structure	66
Table 4-8 NPV For Construction Option A.....	68
Table 4-9 NPV For Construction Option B	70
Table 4-10 NPV For Option A.....	72
Table 4-11 NPV For Option B.....	74

List of Figures

Figure 2-1 Methodology of Literature Review	9
Figure 2-2 Elements of Planning Projects.....	11
Figure 3-1 Introduction Form	40
Figure 3-2 Stages of Construction Project.....	42
Figure 3-3 The Methodology of The Research.....	43
Figure 3-4 WBS Of Option A.....	52
Figure 3-5 WBS Of Option B	53
Figure 4-1 Methodology Form of Chapter 4.....	54
Figure 4-2 Schedule of Option A.....	56
Figure 4-3 Schedule of Option B	57
Figure 4-4 Critical Activity in Option A.....	59
Figure 4-5 Critical Activity in Option B.....	60
Figure 4-6 Cost Profile for Option A	62
Figure 4-7 Cost of Profile for option B.....	63
Figure 4-8 NPV Chart for Construction Option A.....	67
Figure 4-9 NPV for Construction Option B.....	69
Figure 4-10 NPV for Option A	71
Figure 4-11 NPV Chart for Option B.....	73
Figure 4-12 Comparative Between the Time for Each Alternative	75
Figure 4-13 Comparative Between the Cost for Each Alternative	76

Table of Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Means
CPM	Critical Path Method
PERT	Program Evaluation
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
OBS	Organizational Breakdown Structure
CBS	Cost Break Down Structure
SW	Schedule Works
CP	Critical Path
ES	Early Start
LS	Late Start
EF	Early Start
LF	Late Finish
FF	Free Float
IF	Interfering Float
BMS	Building Management System
ASP	Appalachia Service Project
ESP	Experienced Service Professional
LCC	Life Cycle Cost
NPV	Net Present Value