

AN AUTOMATED APPROACH TO VALIDATE CORRECTNESS AND COMPLETENESS OF REQUIREMENTS SPECIFICATION

By

Yazan Mahmoud Al-Kasabrah

Supervisor

Dr. Aysh Al-Hroob

This thesis was submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master's Degree of Science in Software Engineering

Faculty of Graduate Studies

ISRA University

January 2018



An Automated Approach to Validate Correctness and Completeness of Requirements Specification

By

Yazan Mahmoud Al-Kasabrah

Supervisor

Dr. Aysh Al-Hroob

This thesis was submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master's Degree of Science in Software Engineering

Faculty of Graduate Studies

ISRA University

January 2018

The undersigned have examined the thesis en	titled 'An Automated Approach to Validate
Correctness and Completeness of Require	ments Specification' presented by Yazan Al-
Kasabrah, a candidate for the degree of Ma	aster of Science in Software Engineering and
hereby certify that it is worthy of acceptance	e.
Date	Dr. Aysh Al-Hroob
	·
Date	Dr. Adi Maaita
Date	Dr. Thamer Al-Rawashda
Date	Di. Thankel Al-Kawashua

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to my F	Father and Mother	who encouraged me to	much.

To my brothers and sisters for supporting me.

To my wife who stood with me side by side to accomplish this work, not forgetting my two little kids.

To my colleagues at ISRA University

To my faithful friends in Master degree program

Yazan M. Al-Kasabrah 2017/2018

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

After eight months of hard work of research and development, at last, I can say: I DID IT. I had a great experience during this research, even in my business work.

So at first I would kindly present my thanks to my supervisor DR. Aysh Al-Hroob, the Dean of Information Technology faculty, he put the foundation stone for me by accepting and acknowledging me, and for his help and support.

Special thanks, gratitude, and I stand with respect, giving a salute to my encyclopedic advisor Dr. Wael Al-Ziadat, for standing with me step by step to accomplish this work, and for enduring my too many questions. Honestly, I will not be able to accomplish the thesis as perfect as it is, without his support, review and corrections.

A great gratitude for Dr. Ayad Al-Zubaidy for his help, especially in the tool implementation, I was stuck there!

My gratitude and thanks from there, deep in the heart, to my parents for keeping supporting and encouraging me to proceed, and my family who was considering my comfort as her serious business.

Great and lovely emotional thanks to my friends in the Master degree program and so to my business colleagues at ISRA University, being together living good and bad moments as a family.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	IV
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	V
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VI
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	X
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem Statement	2
1.2 Research Questions	2
1.3 Research Aim	2
1.4 Research Objectives	2
1.5 General Methodology	3
1.5.1 Conceptual approach to evaluate RS from C&C criteria	4
1.6 Motivation	6
1.7 Significance	6
CHAPTER TWO	7
BACKGROUND AND RELATED WORK	7
2.1 Overview	7
2.2 Keywords	7
2.2.1 Correctness	7
2.2.2 Completeness:	7
2.2.3 Quality (based on requirements)	8
2.3 Related Work	9
2.4 Similar Approaches	12
2.4.1 Approaches	12
2.4.2 Tools	13
2.4.3 Frameworks and Techniques	
2.5 Conclusion	16

CHAPTER THREE		
METHODOLOGY	17	
3.1 Overview	17	
3.2 Proposed Correctness and Completeness in Requireme	ents Specification	
Approach	=	
3.2.1 Level 1: Structured Document	18	
3.2.2 Level 2: Dynamic Language		
3.2.3 Level 3: Completeness	18	
3.3 Map-Rules	18	
3.3.1 Relationship	19	
3.4 Conclusion	23	
CHAPTER FOUR	24	
EXPERIMENT AND DISCUSSIONS	24	
4.1 Experiment	24	
4.2 Case studies:	24	
4.2.1 Case study 1: Hospital Reception	24	
4.2.1 Case study 2: Online Shopping	32	
CHAPTER FIVE	40	
CONCLUSIONS and FUTURE WORKS	40	
5.1 Conclusions	40	
5.2 Answering the Research Questions:	41	
5.3 Achieved Objectives		
5.4 Limitations and Future work		
REFERENCES		
Appendix A	46	
Appendix B	47	
Appendix C	48	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 2.1: Comparison among Approaches	13
Table 2.2: Comparison among Tools	14
Table 2.3: Comparison among Frameworks and Techniques	16
Table 3.1: Represents the rules between two Actors in the Association relation	19
Table 3.2: Represents the rules between Actor and Use case in the Association relation2	20
Table 3.3: Represents the rules between two Use cases in the Association relation	21
Table 3.4: Represents the rules between two Use cases in the Include relation	21
Table 3.5: Represents the rules between Use case and Use case in the Extend relation2	22
Table 3.6: Represents the rules between two Actors in the Generalization relation	22
Table 3.7: Represents the rules between two Use cases in the Generalization relation2	23
Table 4.1: Case studies rules discussion table	39

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Figure 1.1: The General Methodology of research design	3
Figure 1.2: Proposed Conceptual Approach	5
Figure 3.1: Proposed Correctness and Completeness in Requirements Specification Approach	ı 17
Figure 4.1: Hospital Reception use case diagram	25
Figure 4.2: Extracting in-boundary items to acquire use case diagram elements	26
Figure 4.3: Figure 4.3: Filtered form for the in-boundary items	27
Figure 4.4: Result of applying C&C (Hospital Reception)	28
Figure 4.5: Changing some elements of Hospital Reception case study (in red color)	29
Figure 4.6: Error results after changing elements of (Hospital Reception)	30
Figure 4.7: Sample of applying rule 1 (Hospital Reception)	31
Figure 4.8: Sample of applying rule 2 (Hospital Reception)	31
Figure 4.9: Online shopping use case diagram	32
Figure 4.10: Extracting in-boundary items to acquire use case diagram elements	33
Figure 4.11: Filtered form for the in-boundary items	34
Figure 4.12: The result of applying C&C (Online Shopping)	35
Figure 4.13: Changing some elements of Online Shopping case study (in red color)	36
Figure 4.14: Error results after changing elements of (Online Shopping)	37
Figure 4.15: Sample of applying rule 1 (Online Shopping)	38
Figure 4.16: Sample of applying rule 2 (Online Shopping)	38
Figure A: An output based on XML exported to excel sheet (Hospital Reception)	46
Figure B: An output based on XML exported to excel sheet (Online Shopping)	47

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

#	Abbreviation	Full Expression
1	3Cs	Correctness, Completeness and Consistency
2	CBR	Checklist-Based-Reading technique
3	C&C	Correctness and Completeness
4	DBR	Defect-Based-Reading technique
5	FSF	Functional Scenario Form
6	HLR	High Level Requirements
7	NLP	Natural Language Processing
8	NQQR	Non-Quantified Quality Requirements
9	PBR	Perspective-Based-Reading technique
10	POS	Part-Of-Speech
11	QQR	Quantified Quality Requirements
12	RDF	Resource Description Framework
13	RQA	Requirements Quality Analyzer
14	RS	Requirements Specification
15	SOFL	Structured Object-Oriented Formal Language
16	SR	System Requirements
17	SRS	Software Requirements Specification
18	UML	Unified Modeling Language
19	VBA	Visual Basic for Application
20	V&V	Verification and Validation

An Automated Approach of Correctness and Completeness to Validate Requirements Specification

Abstract

Requirements is the first phase in software development process that should be taken into consideration, which is a milestone to achieve software success and quality, the difficulty in requirements is requirements changeability, which is hard to control by following the services and functions.

Meanwhile, it is important to pin down a set of formal customer requirements, which points out Correctness and Completeness, addressing them through customer satisfaction that revolves around precise services requests, to be conveyed to deep Completeness, through Consistency. Mentioning that Correctness and Completeness point at Quality, while enhancing the Requirements Specification increases its quality, which in turn reflects positively on the product quality.

This research addresses the problem of achieving Correctness, Completeness and Consistency in Requirements Specification through UML use case diagram. Applying an automated approach and a (VBA/MS excel) programmed tool based on standard rules to evaluate the approach. The evaluation is established using two standard use case diagrams from the UML site, resulted in improving the Correctness and Completeness criteria in Requirements Specification, and so the quality.

Keywords: Correctness, Completeness, Consistency, Quality-based requirements, Use case diagram, Requirements Specification.