

Evaluation and Improvement of Multi-Lane Arterial Highway in Iraq

By:

Ahmed Hadi Mahmood

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Basim K. Jrew

This Thesis was submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master's Degree of Engineering Project Management (E.P.M)

> Faculty of Engineering Isra University

> > May, 2018

Isra University

Authorization Form

I, Ahmed Hadi Mahmood, authorize the Isra University to supply copies of my thesis to libraries, establishment or individuals on request, according to the Isra University regulations.

Signature

Date

DEDICATION

This work is dedicated to my parents Eng. Hadi M. Abrahim, Sabeeha K. Joud and Shaema M. Naif.

All I have and will accomplish in my life would be impossible without their love and sacrifices.

Also, this work is dedicated to my brothers and sisters who have been a great source of motivation and inspiration.

Finally, this work is dedicated to my beloved wife Zahra M. Qaes who have been a great source of motivation and inspiration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to give my sincere thanks and appreciate to my supervisor Professor Dr. Basim K. Jrew for his great and continuous encouragement, catalytic guidance, unfailing support and patience. He has been available at all times, giving direction and support to ensure the success of this work, I wouldn't have done it without him.

I would like to thank Eng. Hussein abdul kareem, Eng. Ali abdul rahman, Eng. Mustafa ahmed musa and Eng. Omar khameas for providing me all necessary information that was helpful in accomplishing this work.

At the end of my thesis, I would like to thank all those people who make this thesis possible.

Abstract

EVALUATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF MULTI-LANE ARTERIAL HIGHWAY IN IRAQ

By: Ahmed Hadi Mahmood **Supervisor:** Prof. Dr. Basim K. Jrew

Transportation industry is basically a service sector component. It is of great importance to traffic engineers and planners to understand and evaluate the quality of service provided by transport facilities. Highway Capacity Manual (HCM), with all its revisions since 1950, is the pioneer document in this area. It quantifies the concept of capacity for a transport facility and lays the foundations for estimating the level of service (LOS) being provided by that facility to its users.

This thesis is an engineering project management study. It is about evaluation and improving multi-lane highways in Iraq. The research study was conducted on one main multi-lane highway in Baghdad, including: Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges, where this highway is considered as a major highway with high volume traffic in Iraq.

Highway Capacity Software (HCS 2010) program software was used to evaluate and improve the level of service and traffic conditions for the highway for existing conditions (year of the study-2018), short-term conditions (2023) and mid-term conditions (2028). Evaluation shows that all segments are operating at LOS E or LOS F for existing conditions, short-term conditions and mid-term conditions.

The segments that operated at LOS E or LOS F for existing, short-term and mid-term conditions improvements are suggested by modifying the geometric and traffic conditions and changing the highway to a freeway facility; in order to operate at better LOS. Management flow diagrams were prepared for decision makers to be a guide for future planning programs.

Keywords: Highway Capacity, Highway capacity manual (HCM 2010), Multi-lane Highway, Expressway (Basic freeway facilities) in Iraq, Level of Service (LOS), Highway Capacity Software (HCS, 2010).

LIST OF CONTENTS

Title

Page

CHPTER ONE: Introduction

1.1 Background	1
1.2 Area of the Study	2
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Research Methodology	3
1.5 Previous Research	4
1.6 Structure of study	6

CHAPTER TWO: Review of Literature

2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM)	8
2.2.1 Transportation Research Board (TRB)	
2.3 Types of Highway Facilities	
2.3.1 Un-interrupted Flow Facilities	
2.3.2 Interrupted Flow Facilities	
2.4 Highway Capacity Software (HCS)	
2.5 Highway Capacity Definitions	

2.6 Level of Service (LOS) Definitions	
2.7 Multi-lane Highway Concepts	
2.8 Free Flow Speed	
2.9 Factor Affecting Capacity and LOS	
2.9.1 Base Conditions	
2.9.2 Roadway Conditions	
2.9.3 Traffic Conditions	
2.9.4 Control Conditions	
2.10 Traffic Flow Parameters	
2.10.1 Volume and Flow Rate	
2.10.2 Speed	
2.10.3 Density	
2.10.4 Relationship among Speed, Density and Flow Rate on Un-in	nterrupted
Facilities	
2.11 Active Traffic Management	39
2.12 Previous Studies	

CHAPTER THREE: Data Collection and Methodology

3.1 Preface	
3.2 Study Area	54
3.3 Scenario One	56
3.4 Data Collection	

3.5 Description of Highway Segment	57
3.6 Short-term and Mid-term Analysis	64
3.7 Scenario Two	67
3.8 Multi- Lane Highway Methodology	71
3.9 Free Flow Speed (FFS)	74
3.10 Demand Volume Adjustment	78
3.11 Speed and Density Estimation	82
3.12 LOS Determination	83
3.13 Default Values Error! Bookmark not	
defined85	
defined	86
defined	86 87
defined	86 87 91
defined	86 87 91 94
defined	86 97 91 94 97
defined	86 91 94 97 .100
defined	86 87 91 94 97 . 100 . 101

CHAPTER FOUR: Finding and Discussion of result

4.1 Proposed Analysis Procedure	
4.2 Finding and Discussion of Results for Senario One	

4.2.1Analysis for the Existing Conditions	
4.2.2 Discussion of Result	
4.3 Finding and Discussion of Results for Senario Two	113
4.3.1Analysis for the Sort-term Conditions	114
4.3.2 Discussion of Result	121
4.4 Finding and Discussion of Results for SenarioThree	
4.4.1 Analysis for the Mid-term Conditions	
4.4.2 Discussion of Result	
4.5 Highway Cost in Iraq	131
4.6 Development Management Program	

CHAPTER FIVE: Conclusions and Recommendation

5.1 Conclusions	
5.2 Recommendations	
5.3 Recommendations for Future Work	
References	Error! Bookmark not defined.

APPENDICES

Appendix-A	A
Appendix-B	В
Appendix-C	С
Appendix-D	D
Appendix-E	E

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NUMBER	TABLE TITLE	PAGE
3-1	Traffic and geometric data of segment one at the right side (existing conditions)	59
3-2	Traffic and geometric data of segment one at the left side (existing conditions)	60
3-3	Traffic and geometric data of each segment for Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (existing conditions/ scenario 1)	63
3-4	Traffic and geometric data of each segment for Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (after 5 years; 2023/ scenario 1)	65
3-5	Traffic and geometric data of each segment for Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (after 10 years; 2028/ scenario 1)	66
3-6	Traffic and geometric data of each segment for Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (existing conditions/ scenario 2)	68
3-7	Traffic and geometric data of each segment for Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (after 5 years; 2023/ scenario 2)	69
3-8	Traffic and geometric data of each segment for Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (after 10 years; 2028/ scenario 2)	70
3-9	Adjustment in lane width	75
3-10	Adjustment for lateral clearance	76
3-11	Adjustment for median type.	77
3-12	Adjustment for access point density.	77
3-13	Passenger car equivalents for trucks, buses and RVs in general terrain segments.	81

3-14	Equations describing speed-flow curves.	82
3-15	LOS for multi-lane highways on the basis of density	84
3-16	Required input data and default values for multi-lane highway segments.	86
3-17	Traffic and geometric data for each segment of Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (Existing conditions/ scenario 3).	89
3-18	Traffic and geometric data for each segment of Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (after 5 years – 2023/ scenario 3).	89
3-19	Traffic and geometric data for each segment of Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges (after 10 years – 2028/ scenario 3).	90
3-20	Adjustment for lane width.	95
3-21	Adjustments for right-side lateral clearances.	96
3-22	Passenger car equivalents for trucks, buses and RVs in general terrain segments.	99
3-23	Equations describing speed-flow curves.	100
3-24	LOS criteria for basic freeway segment.	102
3-25	Required input data and default values for basic freeway segments.	103
4-1	Analysis of results for scenario one (existing conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	109
4-2	Analysis of results for scenario one (short-term conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	110
4-3	Analysis of results for scenario one (mid-term conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	111
4-4	Analysis of results for scenario two (existing conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	118
4-5	Analysis of results for scenario two (short-term conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	119

4-6	Analysis of results for scenario two (mid-term conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	120
4-7	Analysis of results for scenario three (existing conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	127
4-8	Analysis of results for scenario three (short-term conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	127
4-9	Analysis of results for scenario three (mid-term conditions) for highway using HCS 2010.	128
4-10	Cost estimation for the roads construction	131

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NUMBER	FIGURE TITLE	PAGE
2-1	Types of highway facilities according to traffic flow based on HCM.	17
2-2	Density-Flow Relationship on Multilane Highways (source: HCM 2000)	31
2-3	Speed-flow rate relationship on multi-lane highways.	32
2-4	Speed-flow rate relationship on multi-lane highways.	32
2-5	The relationship among speed, density and flow rate.	38
3-1	Aerial photograph (screen shot) from Al- Dora intersection to Al- Rashid interchanges.	55
3-2	Aerial photograph (screen shot) the six segment selected for evaluation and improvement.	55
3-3	Cross-section of the existing selected highway.	58
3-4	Illustration of the highway cross-section after improvement.	67
3-5	Multi-lane highway methodology to determine LOS.	73
3-6	Speed-flow curves for multi-lane highways.	78
3-7	LOS thresholds.	83
3-8	Freeway facilities methodology to determine LOS.	93
3-9	Speed-flow curves for basic freeway segments under base condition.	97
3-10	LOS thresholds.	101
4-1	Flow chart describing the proposed analysis procedure.	104
4-2	Cross-section showing the enhancement proposed for segment 1 and 2 with two-way service road for scenario three.	129

4-3	Cross-section showing the enhancement proposed for segment 1 and 2 with one-way service road for scenario three	130
4-4	Flow diagram of management components for scenario one.	133
4-5	Flow diagram of management components for scenario two.	134
4-6	Flow diagram of management components for scenario three.	135

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION	MEANING
НСМ	Highway Capacity Manual
HCS	Highway Capacity Software
LOS	Level Of Service
PHF	Peak Hour Factor
PHV	Peak Hour Volume
TRB	Transportation Research Board
PCE	Passenger Car Equivalent
EPM	Engineering Project Management
NB	North Bound
SB	South Bound
FFS	Free Flow Speed
ATM	Active Traffic Management
HV	Heavy Vehicles
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
PCU	Passenger Car Unit
Mc Trans	Microcomputers in Transportation
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
NCHRP	National Cooperative Highway Research Program
AWSC	All-Way Stop-Controlled
TWLTL	Two Way Left Turn Lane
RV	Recreational Vehicles
TWSC	Two-Way Stop-Controlled

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX NUMBER	FIGURE TITLE
А	Traffic volume and geometric data for all segments of the existing conditions.
В	Typical input and output results of HCS 2010 for scenario one.
С	Typical input and output results of HCS 2010 for scenario two.
D	Typical input and output results of HCS 2010 for scenario three.
Е	Iraqi official letters